

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTÖRNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/660,221	09/11/2003	09/11/2003 Roger Nicholas Place	A35977-PCT-USA-A	7705	
21003	7590 03/08/2005		EXAMINER		
BAKER & BOTTS			SPITZER, ROBERT H		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1724		
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\nearrow	

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/660,221	PLACE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert H. Spitzer	1724	

Advisory Action	10/660,221	PLACE ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert H. Spitzer	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, application must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continue Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring the final Offi te of the final rejection, of the final rejection rejection, of the final rejection rejection rejection, of the final rejection re	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a even if timely filed				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Apperox was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of iod set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	s of the date of filing f the appeal. Since a	the Notice of Notice of Appea				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	: the Section 112, 2nd paragraph re	jections.					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 27-42. (See the delaided reasons 3!	vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n or the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacr	iea.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
	F	Robert H. Spitzer Primary Examiner					

March 7, 2005

GB